

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-9, 11 and 13-19 are all the claims pending in the application.

The subject matter of claims 2, 10 and 12 have been added to claims 1, 8 and 11, respectively. Claims 2, 10 and 12 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0225696 A1 (hereinafter, "Niwa") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,055,543 (hereinafter, "Christensen"). Applicant submits the arguments below in traversal.

Rejection of Claims 1-19 under §103(a) under Niwa in view of Christensen

With these changes, Applicant submits that claim 1 is patentable because a prima facie case of obviousness has not been established. Claim 1 recites:

A method of managing multimedia content [] comprising:
extracting information on at least one resource file by parsing an SMIL document;
and
packaging ... ;
wherein the operation of packaging comprises:
forming a header based on the extracted information;
creating file indexing information based on the extracted information, . . . ;
....

For example, Niwa and Christensen fail to teach or suggest extracting information on at least one resource file by parsing an SMIL document wherein the operation of packaging comprises forming a header based on the extracted information, in combination with other elements of claim 1. In the section of Niwa cited by the Examiner as disclosing the extracted

information (paragraph 130), Niwa discloses extracting information regarding items such as Video ID, Description, Keywords, Date/Time, Script, Location Link, Stock Symbols, Sector, Time Duration, and Meta Data. The Examiner then cites the header 52 of Christensen as corresponding to the claimed header of claim 1. Applicant argues that the header 52 of Christensen is not based on any of the information characterized as being the extracted information in Niwa.

Specifically, the header 52 of Christensen is described as including application information, a creation date of the package, and copyright information. None of these information, however, corresponds to the information characterized by the Examiner as corresponding to the claimed extraction information. Therefore, Christensen cannot possibly fail to teach or suggest a header based on the extracted information of Niwa.

For at least the above reasons, claim 1 is patentable.

Claims 3-7, which depend from claim 1, are patentable for at least the reasons submitted for claim 1.

As for claim 8, Applicant amends the claim to include the subject matter of claim 10 and cancels claim 10.

Claim 9, which depends from claim 8, is patentable for at least the reasons submitted for claim 8.

For reasons similar to those submitted for claim 1, claim 11 is patentable.

Claims 13-19, which depend from or ultimately depend from claim 11, are patentable for reasons submitted for claim 11.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
U.S. APPLN. NO.: 10/799,933

ATTY DOCKET NO.: Q79988

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Seok-Won Stuart Lee/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Seok-Won Stuart Lee
Limited Recognition No. L0212

Date: January 10, 2007